February 12, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING
Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 07-244; CC Docket No. 95-116
Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 8, 2008, representatives from the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) Ordering and Billing Forum (OBF) met with representatives from the Wireless Competition Bureau (WCB). The purpose of this meeting was to discuss issues pertaining to number portability.

The following representatives from the WCB were present at the meeting: Julie Veach, Deputy Chief; Amy Bender, Legal Advisor; Ann Stevens, Deputy Chief, Competition Policy Division; Ben Childers, Economist; Melissa Kirkel, Attorney Advisor; and Marilyn Jones, Attorney Advisor.

Attending on behalf of the ATIS OBF were: Lee Gomez, Process Manager, Qwest; Rosemary Emmer, Industry Regulatory Advisor, Sprint; Lora Williams, Specialist - Verizon Partner Solutions, Verizon; Lolita Forbes, Counsel, Regulatory Law Group, Verizon Wireless; Deborah Tucker, Manager - LNP Projects and Operations, Verizon Wireless; Alissa Medley, Manager, ATIS; Lauren Stanley, Committee Administrator, ATIS; and Thomas Goode, General Counsel, ATIS.

At the meeting, ATIS discussed the porting process and provided information regarding the difference between “validating” and “provisioning” a port. ATIS noted that, for wireline-to-wireline, wireless-to-wireless and intermodal porting, the four validation fields identified by the Commission in its November 8, 2007, Declaratory Ruling are not sufficient to provision a simple port. Additional fields are necessary.
ATIS also noted that there are significant differences between wireless-to-wireless, wireline-to-wireline and intermodal porting. These differences are recognized in the work of the OBF LSOP and Wireless Committees, as well as the work underway by the Intermodal Subcommittee. ATIS noted that a tremendous amount of work has already been done by OBF participants to promote compliance with the new rules, and that additional work is underway to revise ATIS OBF’s industry guidelines and documents to comply with the new porting rules.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission’s rules, one copy of this letter is being filed electronically for inclusion in the public record of the above-referenced proceedings.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas Goode
General Counsel